

# FIJI AERONAUTICAL INFORMATION CIRCULAR



Civil Aviation Authority of Fiji  
Private Bag (NAP0354), Nadi Airport  
Republic of Fiji  
Tel: (679) 6721 555; Fax (679) 6721 500  
Website: [www.caaf.org.fj](http://www.caaf.org.fj)

**AIC 08/18**  
Effective  
4 JAN 2018  
OPS/ATC

## GUIDANCE ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FLIGHT DATA ANALYSIS PROGRAMME (FDAP)

### 1. Background

- 1.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part 1 Chapter 3 requires the operator of an aeroplane of a maximum certificated take-off mass in excess of 27,000kg to establish and maintain the FDAP as part of its accident prevention and flight safety program from 01 January 2005.
- 1.2 Flight Data Analysis Program (FDAP) is a continuous pro-active safety program that utilizes Quick Access Recorder (QAR) data to collate and analyse digital flight data in routine line operations. The program is also known as the Flight Data Monitoring (FDM) or Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA). It is mainly used to identify adverse safety trends from Flight Operations and enable corrective actions can be introduced before unsafe trend leads to accidents.
- 1.3 Data gathered can also be analysed to improve crew performance, operating procedures, flight training, air traffic control procedures, air navigation services, or aircraft maintenance and design.
- 1.4 In Incident Investigation, the FDAP provides the Quantitative description of the event supplementing the Contextual crew report.
- 1.5 Additionally, the flight profile and engine operations parameters can also be collated through FDAP for the operator's maintenance program and as part of the continuing airworthiness program to monitor, analyze and improve operational efficiency as part of continuing airworthiness. This represents a separate part of the FDAP program which is distinct from flight parameters exceedance detection.

### 2. Purpose

- 2.1 This AIC provides information and guidance to Air Operators for the establishment of a Flight Data Analysis Program (FDAP).
- 2.2 The scope of this AIC is to provide guiding principles to Air Operators for implementation and management of an effective Flight Data Analysis Program.

### **3. Objectives of a Flight Data Analysis Program**

#### **3.1 Identification of Undesirable and Unsafe Trends through Exceedance Detection and Routine Operational Measurements.**

3.1.1 FDAP enables analysis of flight data to identify areas of operational risk through a pro-active and routine collation of a pre-determined core set of flight parameter exceedances. These de-identified non-standard flight operations, deviation from prescribed operating procedures and unsafe circumstances can be detected and quantified into undesirable and unsafe trends for remedial action(s) to be taken.

3.1.2 De-identified exceedance detection data gathered and lessons learnt are shared with the operator's flight crew for risk awareness.

3.1.3 The FDAP also enables the continued monitoring of the effectiveness of remedial actions introduced.

#### **3.2 Incident Investigation**

3.2.1 FDAP provides quick and valuable quantifiable recorded data for safety investigation of mandatory reportable incidents. FDAP captured flight parameters; performance and system status assist in concluding the cause and effect of the event.

3.2.2 In the safety investigation of mandatory reportable incidents, the FDAP's protocol of data confidentiality would not apply as crew narrative of the incident providing the context of the incident and the applicable specific human factor issues contributing to incident, plays an integral part of the investigation.

3.2.3 Additionally, in the event that the FDAP reveals a flight profile and/or operating parameters that are classified as a mandatory reportable incident under ICAO Annex 13 or applicable State Regulation(s), the event must be immediately identified and the incident report filed accordingly and investigated by the operator.

#### **3.3 Continuing Airworthiness**

3.3.1 Routine and specific event data from the FDAP can be utilized as an integral part of an operator's continuing airworthiness function as required under ICAO Annex 8. The data are analyzed to ensure that the operator's aircraft are in a condition for safe and efficient operation.

3.3.2 FDAP can also be used by the operator as an engine-monitoring program to analyze engine performance and its efficiency. Other use of the data includes airframe drag measurements, avionics and other system performance monitoring, flight control performance, taxi fuel monitoring, brake and reverse thrust usage.

3.3.3 Routine or specific event data acquired from FDAP for continuing airworthiness, forms part of the operator's maintenance and efficiency program and are separate from the flight parameters exceedance detection and safety trend data collection. Therefore, the extent and dimension of data collection in this category remains solely at the discretion of the operator, provided, the non-punitive and confidentiality aspect of the FDAP is maintained.

#### 3.4 Integrated Safety Analysis

3.4.1 FDAP data should be kept in a central safety database and linkage to, or accessible by other safety database such as incident reporting systems and technical fault reporting system while safeguarding the confidentiality of the FDAP data.

3.4.2 This cross-reference capability enables a multi-dimensional and circumferential understanding of events providing accurate information on the overall safety health of flight and maintenance operations.

### 4. Implementation

#### 4.1 Reference Documents

To assist with the implementation of the Flight Data Analysis Program, operators should make reference to:

- (i) ICAO Doc 10000 *Flight Data Analysis Programme Manual (FDAPM)*
- (ii) ICAO Doc 9422 *Accident Prevention Programme*
- (iii) ICAO Annex 13 Attachment E *Legal Guidance for the Protection of Information from the Safety Data Collection and Processing System.*

#### 4.2 Pilot Support

4.2.1 Pilot support and cooperation is essential for a successful implementation of the FDAP. The narrative provided by the pilots on exceedance detection provides an important part in the investigation and analysis loop. Raw data itself collated from the FDAP will not provide meaningful understanding of hazards and the associated risk.

4.2.2 De-identification of crew involved in exceedance events from management contributes to the development of trust for the FDAP. De-identification of gross exceedance data also forms the tool for the non-punitive aspect of the FDAP.

4.2.3 Formal agreement / protocol between the management and pilots on the procedures and data protection for gross exceedance events should be reached prior to FDAP implementation. It should be stressed that such agreement only encompass gross exceedance data management and must

not include data required by the operator for reportable incident investigation and continuing airworthiness aspect of the FDAP.

#### 4.3 FDAP Committee

4.3.1 Administration of the FDAP should involve all stakeholders and the formation of a committee. Members of the FDAP Committee team should include the following:

- (i) Safety Department
- (ii) Pilot representative
- (iii) Data Analyst / Technical Interpreter
- (iv) Flight Operations Fleet Management
- (v) Flight Operations Training Department
- (vi) Human Factor Interpreter

4.3.2 The FDAP Committee is responsible for the formulation of the pilot re-engagement program in the gross exceedance events. Such re-engagement programs should be documented and validated by the continuing FDAP trending.

#### 4.4 Just Culture

4.4.1 The FDAP places emphasis on data de-identification as a means to support the non-punitive nature of the program. In gross exceedance events, the FDAP provides learning lessons and trends are to be generated without the threat of censure to the event actors.

4.4.2 Operator should balance the benefits of a Just Culture within the overall Safety Culture in the organization against wilful violations of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) detected by the FDAP. The emphasis on non-punitive aspect of the FDAP must not be all encompassing and be allowed to evolve into a No-Blame Culture which may erode disciplined adherence to safe operational procedures. In cases of gross exceedance events attributable to wilful violation resulting in unsafe and undesirable aircraft state, the operator must seek to identify the violator through the FDAP Committee and prescribe a re-engagement program to prevent recurrence. In such cases, the FDAP Committee should not withhold the identification of the event without compelling justification.